Pore and Crosslinking Structures of Cotton Cellulose Crosslinked with DMDHEU-Maleic Acid

Chang Wang,¹ Jui-Chin Chen,² Wei-Hua Yao,² Cheng-Chi Chen³

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Nanya Institute of Technology, Jhongli, Taoyuan, Taiwan, R. O. C. ²Department of Materials and Textiles, Oriental Institute of Technology, Pan-Chiao, Taiwan, R. O. C. ³Department of Chemical Engineering, Nanya Institute of Technology, Jhongli, Taoyuan, Taiwan, R. O. C.

Received 31 March 2004; accepted 6 July 2004 DOI 10.1002/app.21169 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: Two dicarboxylic acids (maleic acid and tartaric acid) were used in conjunction with DMDHEU as the crosslinking agents to treat cotton fabric samples. The treated fabrics then were dyed with direct red 81. The results show that the values of the dye absorption, equilibrium absorption, rate constants, and the pore index of structural diffusion resistance constant for the various crosslinking agents are ranked as DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEUmaleic acid > DMDHEU alone at the same dyeing temperature. The activation energies for the three crosslinked fabrics are in the rank of DMDHEU > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU-tartaric acid. The CL length values for the various crosslinking agent systems are in the series of DM-DHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU alone for a given number of CL/AGU. The values of the pore index of structural diffusion resistance constant and dyeing rate constant are increased with the increase of CL length. © 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 97: 143–148, 2005

Key words: crosslinking; activation energy; catalysts; UVvis spectroscopy

INTRODUCTION

Some previous studies have shown that the carboxylic acid group of a polycarboxylic acid¹⁻³ or acrylic acid⁴ can react with cellulose to improve the crease recovery property of the finished fabrics. Our recent study^{5,6} showed that the carboxylic acid of the α -amino acids used as coreactants of crosslinking reagents can react with the hydroxyl group of the *N*-methylol crosslinking agent (DMDHEU) to affect the physical properties of the treated fabrics. Such an additional reaction should affect the crosslinking structures and pore structures of the treated fabrics.

In this study, we are interested in the effects of the vinyl group of the dicarboxylic acid that was joined with the *N*-methylol compound together to treat the cotton fabric samples on the crosslinking structures and pore structures of the treated fabrics. Dimethyloldihydroxyethyleneurea (DMDHEU) and two dicarboxylic acid compounds (one is vinyl group contained maleic acid, and the other is nonvinyl group contained tartaric acid) were used as the crosslinking agent and coreactants, respectively. Here, we expect the reaction between the vinyl group of dicarboxylic acid and the cellulose and/or DMDHEU under a pad-dry-cure

Also, C I Direct Red 81 having a molecular weight of 767 g/mol will be used to study the pore structures of the DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids treated fabrics. We studied the pore structure by using the following diffusion equation^{7,8}:

$$a = a_e [1 - \exp(-kC_0 t)]^n$$
(1)
= $a_e [1 - \exp(-k' t)]^n$,

where *a* is the absorption of the dye on cotton in time t, a_e is the equilibrium absorption at $t \to \infty$, k is the specific rate constant, k' is the rate constant, C_0 is the concentration of cotton in a finite bath, and *n* is the structural diffusion resistance constant. The higher the value of n, the larger the pore size of the treated fabric.

EXPERIMENTAL

In this study, we used desized, scoured, and bleached cotton fabric $32s \times 32s$ end (60) and picks (60).

The crosslinking agent used was DMDHEU (dimethyloldihydroxyethyleneurea).



process to change the pore structures and the crosslinking structures of those finished cotton fabrics.

Correspondence to: C.-C. Chen (c1133@nanya.edu.tw).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 97, 143-148 (2005) © 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



Figure 1 The rates of dye (C I Direct Red 81) adsorption for (a) DMDHEU, (b) DMDHEU-maleic acid, and (c) DMDHEU-tartaric acid crosslinked fabrics (\Box - 70°C, \triangle - 60°C, \bigcirc - 50°C).

Di-carboxylic acids were maleic acid and tartaric acid.



Ammonium sulfate was reagent grade, as were the other chemicals.

The cotton fabric samples were padded twice to about 90% wet pickup with freshly prepared (2, 4, 6, and 8 w/w) aqueous solutions of the mixtures of DMDHEU/ dicarboxylic acids (weight ratio of 3:1) and the ammonium sulfate catalyst. The catalyst concentration was 0.10 times the crosslinking agent solid concentration. Padded fabrics were dried at 80°C for 5 min, cured at 160°C for 3 min, soaped, washed, and dried.

Formaldehyde and nitrogen determinations of the treated fabrics were made using the chromotropic acid⁹ and Kjeldahl methods, respectively.

The crosslinked cotton fabrics (4% w/w) were cut into pieces approximately 0.5 cm² and dyed with C. I. Direct Red 81 (dye: 0.05 g/L, NaCl: 10g/L) at a 60 : 1 liquor ratio. Dyeing temperatures were 50, 60, and 70°C and were kept constant in a water bath. The dye solution and cotton fabric were stirred slowly using a magnetic stirrer. After selected time intervals, the dye concentration in the dye solution was measured directly on a Hitachi U-3010 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) spectrophotometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pore structures

Figures 1(a), (b), and (c) show the rates of dye absorption that were dyed with C I Direct Red 81 under 50

 \sim 70°C for the crosslinked cotton with DMDHEU, DMDHEU-maleic acid, and DMDHEU-tartaric acid, respectively. From those figures, we can find that the type of the dye absorptions for DMDHEU alone and DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids are the same. However, from the overall dyeing time duration, we can find that the values of the dye absorption for the various crosslinking agents are in the rank of DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU alone at a same dyeing temperature. The higher dye absorption values for DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids may be caused by the different pore structures of the crosslinked fabrics. Our previous study suggested that the crosslinking reaction for DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids is different from that for DMDHEU.¹⁰ This difference may cause the pore structures of the treated fabrics to be different from each other.

Figures 2(a), (b), and (c), respectively, for the rates of dye absorption of the crosslinked cotton with DMDHEU alone, DMDHEU-maleic acid, and DMDHEU-tartaric acid show the linear relationships between the logarithmic values of the dye (C I Direct Red 81) absorption (log *a*) under the different temperatures and the logarithmic values of the time intervals of dyeing (log *t*) over the initial dyeing time duration. From those results of linear relationships, we believe that the use of the diffusion kinetic equation reported by Chrastil et al.^{7,8} to study the equilibrium absorption (a_e), rate constant (k'), and structural diffusion resistance constant (n, the index of the pore structure) of the various treated cotton fabrics is suitable. Several data obtained from the dyeing kinetics of eq. (1) are all listed in Table I.

Table I shows that the equilibrium absorption values of the direct red dye for the DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids crosslinked fabrics are higher than those for



Figure 2 Plots of log *a* versus log *t* for (a) DMDHEU, (b) DMDHEU-maleic acid, and (c) DMDHEU-tartaric acid crosslinked fabrics (dyed with C I Direct Red 81) (\Box - 70°C, \triangle - 60°C , \bigcirc - 50°C).

DMDHEU at a given temperature. This phenomenon may be caused by the condensation reaction between DMDHEU and dicarboxylic acids being significantly higher than that between DMDHEU and DMDHEU to form a loose crosslink. Our previous study¹⁰ revealed the reaction between DMDHEU and dicarboxylic acids. Additionally, the equilibrium absorption value for DMDHEU-tartaric acid crosslinked cellulose is higher than that for DMDHEU-maleic acid. This result may be caused by: (1) the bonding between the two dicarboxylic acids and direct dye, and (2) the crosslinking structures of the treated fabrics for the two dicarboxylic acids are different from each other.

For all cases, the rate constants are increased with the rise of dyeing temperature. Rate constants for the three crosslinked fabrics that were dyed with the same direct dye and at the same dyeing temperature show the rank of DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU. Those results may be caused by the pore structure and/or bonding dependence of the dye absorption.^{11,12}

Structural diffusion resistance constants are only slightly changed with increasing the dyeing temperature (Table I). Table I also shows that the structural diffusion resistance constants for the three crosslinked fabrics reveal the following rank: DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU. Several reports^{13–15} revealed that the crosslinks decrease the structural diffusion resistance constant. Those results agree with the results of the expansion patterns of SEM in another report,¹⁰ and indicate that the pore structure of the crosslinked cotton is changed with the addition of different dicarboxylic acids to DMDHEU and the functional groups of dicarboxylic acids. We reported that the coreactant dicarboxylic acids will react with crosslinking agent (DMDHEU)¹⁰ to create a loose crosslink that is a larger pore structure.

Arrhénius plots (three crosslinked fabrics) for the C I Direct Red 81 are shown in Figure 3. The activation energies ($\triangle E^*$) from the figures are also listed in Table I. The activation energies for the three crosslinked fabrics are ranked as follows: DMDHEU > DMD-HEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU-tartaric acid. This ranking also agrees with the pore structure of the crosslinked fabrics that is the structural diffusion resistance constant. Crosslinking length is a powerful factor for the estimation of pore size.

Degree of crosslinking

The nitrogen and formaldehyde contents and number and length of crosslinks for the cotton fabric crosslinked with varying resin concentrations for DMD-HEU alone, DMDHEU-maleic acid, and DMDHEUtartaric acid are presented in Table II. As expected, the values of nitrogen and formaldehyde contents and mole/AGU of nitrogen and formaldehyde show a gradual increase with increasing resin content in the bath in all cases. The nitrogen and formaldehyde contents of the finished samples for DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids are significantly lower than those for DMD-HEU alone for a given resin concentration in pad bath and are shown in Figures 4(a) and (b), respectively. Those results are mainly caused by the use of dicarboxylic acid crosslinking agents, which have no nitrogen and formaldehyde contained in molecules. On the other hand, the nitrogen and formaldehyde contents of the finished samples for DMDHEU-maleic acid are slightly lower than those for DMDHEU-tartaric acid at a given resin concentration. Clearly, the difference

	ctural Diffusion Resistance ratric Acid, Respectively	DMDHEU-tartaric acid	ΔE^* (Kcal/mol)	10.012	
TABLE I			$k' imes 10^{-3}$ min ⁻¹	5.623 8.982 13.983	
			и	0.368 0.376 0.385	
	utes), Stru 1DHEU-Tai		$a_e imes 10^{-3}$ M	3.890 3.829 3.786	
	ained from a dyeing time interval of 10 mir OMDHEU, DMDHEU-Maleic Acid, and DM	DMDHEU-maleic acid	ΔE* (Kcal/mol)	11.004	
			$k'\times 10^{-3} \\ \mathrm{min}^{-1}$	5.002 8.621 13.606	
			и	0.356 0.672 0.376	
			$a_e imes 10^{-3}$ M	3.724 3.700 3.623	
	ues of Equilibrium Adsorption, Rate Constant (the data were obti tant, and Activation Energy of the Various Fabrics Treated with I	DMDHEU	ΔE* (Kcal/mol)	13.405	
			$k' \times 10^{-3}$ min ⁻¹	3.157 5.474 10.691	
			и	0.276 0.285 0.300	
			$a_e imes 10^{-3} M$	2.942 2.867 2.819	
		Dyeing temp. (°C)		50 60 70	
		Molecular	weight (g/mol)	676	
	The Val Cons		Direct dye C I no.	81	



Figure 3 Arrenhius plots of the \bigcirc DMDHEU, \triangle DMD-HEU-maleic acid, and \Box DMDHEU-tartaric acid crosslinked fabrics dyed with C I Direct Red 81.

between maleic acid and tartaric acid would be caused by the slightly higher reactivity of tartaric acid. Our previous study¹⁰ suggested that maleic acid could be hydrolyzed to react with DMDHEU as follows.



The tartaric acid molecule that has two hydroxyl groups may have higher reactivity than the hydroxy-succinic acid that has a single hydroxyl group. At the same time, this additional hydroxyl group for tartaric acid may also increase the affinity to have higher values of direct dye equilibrium absorption (a_e) and rate constant (k') shown in Table I.

The number of crosslinks per anhydroglucose (CL/ AGU) and length of crosslinks (CL length) of the finished fabrics listed in Table II, obtained using the methods of Frick et al.,^{16,17} indicate that both increase as the concentration of the resin in the bath increases for all crosslinking agents. The curvilinear relationship between the length of crosslinks and CL/AGU of the treated fabric samples for all the crosslinking agents

Di-carboxylic acids	Di-carboxylic acids conc., (%)	DMDHEU conc., (%)	Nitrogen (%)	Formaldehyde (%)	Moles/AGU		CL/AGU	CL
					Nitrogen	Formaldehyde	(×10 ²)	length
		2	0.180	0.326	0.0210	0.0177	0.724	1.448
		4	0.360	0.635	0.0422	0.0348	1.365	1.547
-		6	0.513	0.890	0.0605	0.0490	1.875	1.614
		8	0.706	1.118	0.0839	0.0620	2.006	2.091
Maleic acid	1.5/3	4.5/3	0.152	0.267	0.0177	0.0145	0.567	1.563
	3.0/3	9.0/3	0.275	0.466	0.0323	0.0255	0.938	1.719
	4.5/3	13.5/3	0.403	0.643	0.0476	0.0354	1.164	2.044
	6.0/3	18.0/3	0.535	0.818	0.0636	0.0454	1.357	2.341
Tartaric acid	1.5/3	4.5/3	0.148	0.257	0.0173	0.0140	0.536	1.611
	3.0/3	9.0/3	0.259	0.437	0.0304	0.0239	0.873	1.739
	4.5/3	13.5/3	0.398	0.634	0.0470	0.0349	1.143	2.054
	6.0/3	18.0/3	0.527	0.803	0.0626	0.0445	1.321	2.368

TABLE II Structural Characteristics of Cotton Cellulose Fabrics Treated with DMDHEU Alone, DMDHEU-Maleic Acid, and DMDHEU-Tartaric Acid

(Fig. 5) is similar to that reported in our previous study.¹⁸ For a given number of CL/AGU, the CL length values for the various crosslinking agent systems are in the rank of DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DM-DHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU alone. The longer CL length for DMDHEU-dicarboxylic acids may be caused by the higher aggregation of the crosslinking agents in pad bath by Scheme 4 to enlarge the pore size.

Figure 6 reveals the relationships between the values of n (structural diffusion resistance constant) and k' (rate constant) and the CL length of the various treated fabrics, which shows that the values of n and k' are increased with the increase of CL length. Those results clearly show that the pore sizes are dependent on the length of crosslink.

CONCLUSION



Figure 4 The nitrogen and formaldehyde contents of the crosslinked fabrics for the different resin concentrations. DMDHEU: nitrogen content (\bigcirc), formaldehyde (\bullet); DMD-HEU-maleic acid: nitrogen content (\triangle), formaldehyde (\bullet); and DMDHEU-tartaric acid: nitrogen content (\Box), formaldehyde (\bullet); hyde (\blacksquare).

In this study, we used two dicarboxylic acids (maleic acid and tartaric acid) to join with DMDHEU as the



Figure 5 Plots of CL length versus CL/AGU for DMDHEU (\bigcirc), DMDHEU-maleic acid (\triangle), and DMDHEU-tartaric acid (\Box) crosslinked fabrics, respectively.



Figure 6 The relationships between CL length and the rate constant (k', Δ) and structural diffusion resistance constant (n, \bigcirc) for the various crosslinked fabrics.

crosslinking agents to treat cotton fabric samples. The treated fabrics then were dyed with C I Direct Red 81 to study the crosslinking structures and pore structures. The values of the dye absorption, equilibrium absorption, rate constants, and structural diffusion resistance constant for the various crosslinking agents are in the rank of DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU alone at the same dyeing temperature. The activation energies for the three crosslinked fabrics are ranked as follows: DMDHEU > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU-tartaric acid. For a given number of CL/AGU, the CL length values

for the various crosslinking agent systems are in the rank of DMDHEU-tartaric acid > DMDHEU-maleic acid > DMDHEU alone. The relationships between the values of n (structural diffusion resistance constant) and k' (rate constant) and the CL length of the various treated fabrics show that the values of n and k' are increased with the increase of CL length.

References

- 1. Lewis, D. M.; Voncina, B. J Appl Polym Sci 1997, 66, 171.
- 2. Kotte, B. A. Textile Chem Color 1990, 22, 63.
- 3. Voncina, B. Fibres & Textiles in Eastern Europe 1996, January/ March, 69.
- Chen, J. C.; Yao, W. H.; Chen, C. H.; Chen, C. C. J Appl Polym Sci 2001, 82, 1580.
- 5. Wang, T. J.; Chen, J. C.; Chen, C. C. Textile Res J 2003, 73, 797.
- 6. Shih, M. K.; Chen, J. C.; Yao, W. H.; Wang, C.; Chen, C. C. Textile Res J, to appear.
- 7. Chrastil, J. Textile Res J 1990, 60, 413.
- Chrastil, J.; Reinhardt, R. M.; Blanchard, E. J. Textile Res J 1990, 60, 441.
- 9. Meyer, U.; Muller, K.; Zollinger, H. Textile Res J 1976, 46, 756.
- 10. Hsiung, H. H.; Huang, H. Y.; Wang, Y. H.; Wang, C.;. Chen, J. C; Chen, C. C. J Appl Polym Sci, to appear.
- 11. Bertoniere, N. R.; King, W. D.; Rowland, S. P. Textile Res J 1981, 51, 242.
- 12. Roland, S. P.; Bertoniere, N. R.; King, W. D. Textile Res J 1984, 54, 318.
- Chen, W. Y.; Liaw, M. Y.; Lan, G. W.; Chen, C. C. Textile Res J 1993, 63, 535.
- 14. Hsiung, H. H.; Chen, C. C. Textile Res J 1997, 67, 77.
- Liang, T. Y.; Hwang, J. Y.; Ju, D. S.; Chen, C. C. Textile Res J 1992, 62, 547.
- Frick, J. G.; Kottes Andrew, B. A.; Reid, J. D. Textile Res J 1959, 29, 314.
- Frick, J. G.; Kottes Andrew, B. A.; Reid, J. D. Textile Res J 1960, 30, 495.
- 18. Chen, C. C. Textile Res J 1989, 59, 464.